

CHAIRMAN MIKE CULLEN LONG BEACH

ASSEMBLYMEN
DANIEL BOATWRIGHT
CONCORD

EUGENE A. CHAPPIE ROSEVILLE

LEROY GREENE SACRAMENTO

## Joint Legislative Audit Committee

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

## California Legislature

MIKE CULLEN CHAIRMAN



VICE CHAIRMAN ALBERT RODDA SACRAMENTO

SENATORS
PAUL CARPENTER
CYPRESS
GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN
LONG BEACH
NATE HOLDEN
LOS ANGELES

October 13, 1977

Letter Report 282.3

Honorable Richard Alatorre Chairman, Assembly Select Committee on Corrections 1116 9th Street, Room 31 Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Assemblyman Alatorre:

I am pleased to forward a cost analysis of selected facility housing units maintained by the Department of Corrections at five institutions.

The total institutional costs per inmate range from \$7,004 to \$10,335 annually.

The auditors are Harold L. Turner, Audit Manager; Robert J. Maloney; Michael L. McGarity; and Edwin H. Shepherd.

MIKE CULLEN Chairman

President pro Tempore of the Senate
 Speaker of the Assembly
 Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee



CHAIRMAN MIKE CULLEN LONG BEACH

ASSEMBLYMEN
DANIEL BOATWRIGHT
CONCORD

EUGENE A. CHAPPIE ROSEVILLE LEROY GREENE SACRAMENTO

## Joint Legislative Audit Committee

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

## California Legislature

JOHN H. WILLIAMS
AUDITOR GENERAL



VICE CHAIRMAN ALBERT RODDA SACRAMENTO

PAUL CARPENTER
CYPRESS
GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN
LONG BEACH
NATE HOLDEN
LOS ANGELES

SENATORS

October 7, 1977

Letter Report 282.3

Honorable Mike Cullen Chairman, and Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee Room 5144, State Capitol Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to a resolution by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, we conducted a cost analysis of selected facility housing units in the California Department of Corrections. The review was conducted under the authority vested in the Auditor General by Section 10527 of the Government Code.

We did not perform a full financial audit of the institutions or their financial statements and therefore we do not express an opinion on them. This disclaimer of opinion is required by Rule 58.2 of the California Accountancy Act when the name of certified public accountants is associated with unaudited financial statements, or portions thereof, such as those attached to this report.

We analyzed the housing costs of the California State Prison at San Quentin; the California State Prison at Folsom; the Deuel Vocational Institution (DVI), Tracy; the Correctional Training Facility (CTF), Soledad; and the California Institution for Women (CIW), Frontera.

Our report is an informational report in that we have compared the differing costs between institutions, but have made no recommendations concerning the costs presented.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Inmates at the five institutions we analyzed are assigned to various housing units depending upon the level of custody and/or type of treatment required. Not all institutions maintain a full spectrum of special housing units, but at each institution that we visited there was at least one unit specifically dedicated to controlling difficult prisoners.

Using financial and other institutional records for fiscal year 1975-76, we determined the cost of operating the following types of housing units:

Type of Housing Unit and Institution

Type of Inmate Assigned to Unit

Condemned Row (San Quentin)

Inmates sentenced to death.

Security Housing Unit (SHU) (San Quentin) (Folsom) (DVI) (CTF) Inmates who, through action or demonstrated behavior, present an imminent threat to the safety and security of the institution, the staff or other inmates.

Management Control Unit (San Quentin) (CTF) (DVI) Inmates who do not require the intense security and control of a SHU but who cannot be adequately supervised within the relative freedom of a general population setting.

Protective Housing Unit (DVI) (CTF)

Inmates who require protection from other inmates.

Ranch (San Quentin) (Folsom) (DVI) Inmates who require minimum custody and security and who generally work at job assignments requiring minimum supervision outside the main prison walls.

Hospital (San Quentin) (CIW) (Folsom) (DVI) (Soledad) Inmates who require inpatient medical treatment. Outpatient services are also provided through this unit to inmates residing in other units in the institution.

Honorable Mike Cullen Chairman, and Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee October 7, 1977 Page 3

### Type of Housing Unit and Institution

### Type of Inmate Assigned to Unit

Psychiatric Treatment Unit (CIW)

Inmates who require special treatment or custody for management and security purposes. (Women sentenced to death have been confined to a special area in this unit.)

Reception Center (CIW)

Inmates who require temporary assignment to a housing unit pending the results of institutional testing or court decisions.

General Population (San Quentin) (Folsom) (DVI) (CTF) (CIW) All inmates not residing in the above listed units.

Our Letter Report 282.2, issued June 27, 1977, provided the yearly housing costs for inmates at San Quentin and the California Institution for Women. These costs are again noted in this report to provide a broader basis for comparison.

#### STUDY RESULTS

This report presents the costs to the State to house inmates for fiscal year 1975-76 in the various housing units of those institutions we studied.

The accounting and financial records of the institutions we examined do not accumulate operating costs by type of housing unit. Therefore, we developed these costs by assigning direct costs to the appropriate units and allocating indirect institutional costs, such as administrative overhead, where appropriate. We verified the appropriateness of the assignments through tests of the institutions' accounting records and the allocations through discussions with institution officials.

#### General Population

The cost of housing general population inmates ranges from \$6,019 to \$8,125 per inmate per year in the five institutions we studied. The per inmate costs for the general population is lower than for the higher security units primarily because of the lower custody staff levels required in the general population. Table I presents the cost per inmate per year and the average daily population levels for the general population inmates.

SCHEDULE OF GENERAL POPULATION COSTS,

POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

TABLE I

			tution		
	CIW	San Quentir	<u>DVI</u>	CTF	Folsom
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	\$8,125	\$7,371	\$7,260	\$6,152	\$6,019
Average Unit Population	579	1,629	1,021	1,992	1,509
Inmate to Correctional Officer Ratio	5.0:1	5.1:1	5.6:1	7.3:1	5.8:1
	(Unau	udited)			

#### Special Security Units

Inmates who pose a special threat to other inmates or the institution staff may, for varying periods of time, be assigned to a specially secured housing unit. These units are designed to maintain a high level of custody and confinement, and therefore, the ratio of correctional staff to inmates is greater than in any of the other units.

The <u>Security Housing Units</u> (SHU) at the four institutions for male inmates provide the greatest level of detention and custody. The cost of maintaining an inmate in these units is generally double the cost of maintaining an inmate within the general population.

The <u>Management Control Units</u> (MCU) also provide a higher degree of detention and custody and consequently incur significantly higher costs per inmate.

Tables II and III display the cost to house inmates in SHUs and MCUs, respectively, at the institutions that we studied.

TABLE II

# SCHEDULE OF SECURITY HOUSING UNITS COSTS, POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

		Instituti	on	
	San Quentin	DVI	Folsom	CTF
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	\$16,030	\$15,542	\$13,638	\$11,502
Average Unit Population	69	100	89	114
Inmate to Correction Officer Ratio	1.5:1	1.5:1	1.8:1	2.5:1
	(Unaudite	<u>d)</u>		

Honorable Mike Cullen Chairman, and Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee October 7, 1977 Page 6

### TABLE III

# SCHEDULE OF MANAGEMENT CONTROL UNITS COSTS, POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

	Ir	stitution	
	San Quentin	DVI	CTF
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	\$16,281	\$12,838	\$9,093
Average Unit Population	70	55	225
Inmate to Correctional Officer Ratio	1.4:1	2.1:1	5.4:1
	(Unaudited)		

Honorable Mike Cullen Chairman, and Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee October 7, 1977 Page 7

#### **Protective Housing Units**

Inmates who require protection from other inmates are housed in Protective Housing Units (PHU). These inmates generally do not pose the same threat as the SHU or MCU inmates, but do require isolation from the general inmate population. In fiscal year 1975-76, inmates requiring protective housing at Folsom and San Quentin were maintained in a separate section of the SHU at SHU unit costs. At Deuel Vocational Institution and CTF, Soledad, protective custody inmates were housed in separate units with the resulting cost per inmate significantly lower because of the lower custody requirements. Table IV displays the cost per inmate housed in separate PHUs at DVI and CTF.

#### TABLE IV

# SCHEDULE OF PROTECTIVE HOUSING UNITS COSTS, POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

		nstitution
	CTF	DVI
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	\$7,860	\$7,785
Average Unit Population	200	111
Inmate to Correctional Officer Ratio	4.5:1	4.7:1
	(Unaudited)	

#### Ranch

The Ranch is a designated unit at DVI, San Quentin and Folsom.\* Because of the minimum custody requirement for inmates residing in these units, staffing ratios and costs are generally less than for any other housing unit of the institution. Table V displays the cost per inmate housed in the Ranch at Folsom, DVI and San Quentin.

TABLE V

SCHEDULE OF RANCH
COSTS, POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO
FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

	Folsom	nstitution San Quentin	DVI
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	\$6,066	\$5,298	\$4,522
Average Unit Population	109	142	14
Inmate to Correctional Officer Ratio	5.6:1	8.7:1	15.8:1
	(Unaudited)		

<sup>\*</sup> Folsom Prison recently discontinued its Ranch and now houses these inmates within the general population.

#### Hospitals/Infirmaries

Medical services are provided to inmates at each of the institutions included in our analysis. Each facility provides typical outpatient treatment and, in addition, has a hospital unit that is capable of treating inmates who need hospitalization. These hospitals range in size from a 26-bed facility at DVI to an 82-bed facility at San Quentin.

At DVI, CTF and Folsom the hospital bed utilization ratio ranges from 3.8 percent to 21.9 percent as illustrated in Table VI.

TABLE VI

#### SCHEDULE OF HOSPITAL BED CAPACITY AND UTILIZATION FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

		l r	stitut	ion	
	DVI	Folsom	CTF	CIW	San Quentin
Hospital Bed Capacity	26	55	32	37	82
Average Daily Hospital Population	1	10	7	15	40
Percent of Hospital Facility Utilization	3.8	18.2	21.9	40.5	48.8

Honorable Mike Cullen Chairman, and Members of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee October 7, 1977 Page 10

The low-bed utilization at DVI, CTF and Folsom is partially attributable to the use of outside facilities and other hospitals within the California Department of Corrections (CDC) system.

The direct cost of both in-and-outpatient services to inmates is provided in Table VII.

## TABLE VII SCHEDULE OF MEDICAL SERVICES COSTS FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

			nstitution		
	DVI	CTF	Folsom	CIW	San Quentin
Total Direct Medical Service Costs	\$792,400	\$1,100,200	\$868,000	\$706,400	\$1,851,900
Direct Medical Service Cost per Inmate per Year	609	433	506	938	930
		(Unaudited)			

Total medical services costs including allocations for overhead and custody costs at each institution are provided in Schedules 1 through 5.

The Department of Finance is concluding a nine-month study of hospitals within the CDC system and will address the issue of hospital staff utilization in their report.

#### Total Institution

Table VIII below summarizes the total operating costs for the five institutions that we studied. Schedules 1 through 5 provide detailed institutional costs by both housing unit and line item of expenditure. We did not allocate total hospital cost to the hospital inpatient population because a portion of the cost is for services not directly associated with inpatients.

#### TABLE VIII

#### SCHEDULE OF TOTAL INSTITUTIONAL COSTS POPULATION AND STAFFING RATIO FISCAL YEAR 1975-76

			Institution		
	CIW	San Quentin	DVI	CTF	Folsom
Total Cost per Institution	\$7,782,000	\$18,211,700	\$11,428,000	\$18,440,900	\$12,026,700
FY 1975-76 Cost per Inmate per Year	10,335	9,140	8,777	7,266	7,004
Average Institution Population	753	1,992	1,302	2,538	1,717
Inmate to Correctional Officer Ratio	4.0:1	4.1:1	4.4:1	6.2:1	5.1:1
		(Unaudite	d)		

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN H. WILLIAMS

Auditor General

Staff: Harold L. Turner, Audit Manager; Robert J. Maloney

Michael L. McGarity; Edwin H. Shepherd

Attachments: Response to the Auditor General's Report

Schedule 1--Institutional Costs, California Institution for

Women--Frontera, Fiscal Year 1975-76

Schedule 2--Institutional Costs, California State Prison at

San Quentin, Fiscal Year 1975-76

Schedule 3--Institutional Costs, Deuel Vocational

Institution--Tracy, Fiscal Year 1975-76

Schedule 4--Institutional Costs, California Training

Facility-- Soledad, Fiscal Year 1975-76

Schedule 5--Institutional Costs, California State Prison at

Folsom, Fiscal Year 1975-76

To

#### Memorandum

Date : October 6, 1977

Mr. John H. Williams, Auditor General

Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Office of the Auditor General

925 L Street, Suite 750 Sacramento, CA 95814

ATTN: Mr. Robert J. Maloney

From: Department of Corrections, Sacramento 95814

Subject: Letter Report 282.3

We appreciate this opportunity to review the findings contained in the draft report of the Auditor General covering a cost analysis of selected housing units in the Department of Corrections.

\* \* \*

This report appears to be well prepared and will provide assistance in managing our selected facility housing units.

J. ENOMOTO

Director of Corrections

<sup>\*</sup> Comments deleted referred to items shown in draft report but not included in this report.

SCHEDULE 1

INSTITUTIONAL COSTS
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN--FRONTERA
Fiscal Year 1975-76
(Unaudited)

		34VT	YPE OF HOUSING		
TOTAL COST	Psychiatric Treatment Unit	Reception Center	General Population	Hospital	All Units
Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses	\$406,400 244,100 132,600 783,100 119,800 \$902,900	\$ 668,900 231,600 226,000 1,126,500 206,800 \$1,333,300	\$1,938,600 303,000 1,306,900 3,548,500 1,156,200 \$4,704,700	\$ 67,900 455,800 34,000 557,700 283,400 \$841,100	\$3,081,800 1,234,500 1,699,500 6,015,800 1,766,200 \$7,782,000
COST PER INMATE YEAR					
Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses	\$ 6,888 4,137 2,247 13,272 2,031 \$ 15,303	\$ 6,689 2,316 2,260 11,265 2,068 \$ 13,333	\$ 3,348 523 2,257 6,128 1,997 \$ 8,125		\$ 4,093 1,639 2,257 7,989 2,346 \$ 10,335
Average Daily Population	59	100	579	15 (Inpatients)	753
		(Onaudited)			

SCHEDULE 2

INSTITUTIONAL COSTS CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN Fiscal Year 1975-76 (Unaudited)

				TYPE OF HOUSING-	S I NGS I NG		
TOTAL COST	Condemned Row	Security Housing Unit	Management Control Unit	Ranch	General Population	Hospital	All Units
Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses	\$524,100 32,400 48,800 605,300 84,100 \$689,400	\$ 822,500 61,000 80,200 963,700 142,500 \$1,106,200	\$ 886,100 25,600 81,400 993,100 146,700 \$1,139,800	\$296,300 29,700 165,100 491,100 261,600 \$752,700	\$ 5,772,400 737,800 1,893,600 8,403,800 3,601,600 \$12,005,400	\$ 405,700 1,537,700 46,500 1,989,900 528,300 \$2,518,200	\$ 8,707,100 2,424,200 2,315,600 13,446,900 4,764,800 \$18,211,700

COST PER INMATE YEAR

4,371 1,216 1,162 6,749 2,391 9,140	1,992
w    w	40 (Inpatients)
\$ 3,543 452 1,162 5,157 5,214 \$ 7,371	1,629
\$ 2,086 208 1,162 3,456 1,842 \$ 5,298	142
\$ 12,658 365 1,162 14,185 5,096 \$ 16,281	9 70 Unaudited)
\$ 11,920 883 1,162 13,965 2,065 \$ 16,030	69 (Un
\$ 12,477 772 1,162 14,411 2,002 \$ 16,413	42
Custody Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect 1,162 Total Personnel Services 14,411 Operating Expenses Total Expenses	Average Daily Population

SCHEDULE 3

INSTITUTIONAL COSTS	Fiscal Year 1975-76
DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTIONTRACY	(Unaudited)

	Population All Units	\$3,395,100 \$ 5,585,100 790,900 1,633,400 1,469,600 1,874,500 5,655,600 9,093,000 1,757,800 2,335,000 \$7,413,400 \$11,428,000	3,325 \$ 4,290 775 1,254 1,439 1,440 5,539 6,984 1,722 1,793	1,021 1,302
- - - - - - - - -	Hospital P	\$ 30,200 708,900 1,900 741,000 85,900 \$826,900		l (Inpatient)
OF HOUSING-	Ranch	\$ 17,300 1,000 20,600 38,900 24,400 \$ 63,300	\$ 1,236 1,471 2,779 1,743 \$ 4,522	14
TYPE	Protective Housing Unit	\$459,700 53,800 159,300 672,800 191,300 \$864,100	\$ 4,141 485 1,435 6,061 1,724 \$ 7,785	lll lited)
! ! ! ! !	Management Control Unit	\$496,600 34,300 78,700 609,600 96,500 \$706,100	\$ 9,029 624 1,431 11,084 1,754 \$ 12,838	55 (Unaudited)
	Security Housing Unit	\$1,186,200 44,500 144,400 1,375,100 179,100 \$1,554,200	\$ 11,862 445 1,444 13,751 1,791 \$ 15,542	100
	TOTAL COST -	Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses	COST PER INMATE YEAR Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses	Average Daily Population

7	-
ш	į
Ξ	5
H	1
5	5
v	)

\$ 9,70 \$ 1,90 1,90 13,86 13,86 \$ 18,44 \$ 57	General Population \$ 6,223,900 798,800 1,750,000 8,772,700 3,481,100 3,481,100 \$12,253,800 4,401 1,748 5 6,152	1 EDAD  OF HOUSING  NO \$ 138,800  10 \$ 188,800  10 \$	SoSoSoSoSoSoSoSo	INSTITUTIONAL COSTS	\$ 955,100 46,200 119,200 119,200 119,200 119,200 119,200 190,700 \$ 8,378 \$ 8,378 \$ 8,378 \$ 11,046 9,829 9,829 9,829	TOTAL COST  Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other  Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Expenses Total Personnel ServicesIndirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses
2 2,538	7 1,992	7	200	225	114	Average Daily Population
	7,99	7	200	225	114	
w w				9 1/1	ν   <u> </u>	Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses
\$ 9,708, 1,903, 2,255, 13,867, 4,573, \$18,440,	\$ 6,223,900 798,800 1,750,000 8,772,700 3,481,100 \$12,253,800	-"  -"	i— i— ii	\$1,420,200 71,100 170,000 1,661,300 384,500 \$2,045,800	s  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -	Personnel ServicesDirect Custody Other Personnel ServicesIndirect Total Personnel Services Operating Expenses Total Expenses
1	General Population	Hospital	Protective Housing Unit	Management Control Unit	Security Housing Unit	TOTAL COST
		BNISIOH	YPE	iscal Year l (Unaudite	CALITORNIA	
		DAD	ı	NSTITUTIONAL TRAINING FA	II CALIFORNIA	

(Unaudited)

L	٤	1
L	ı	į
Ξ		5
5	-	
=	Į	Ξ
۲	7	ì

INSTITUTIONAL COSTS CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT FOLSOM Fiscal Year 1975-76 (Unaudited)	Security Housing General Unit Population Ranch Hospital All Units	cesDirect $$908,600$ $$4,789,000$ $$357,500$ $$175,000$ $$6,230,100$ $78,700$ $548,600$ $35,100$ $786,000$ $1,448,400$ $1,408,600$ $1,070,600$ $1,0$	EAR cesDirect \$ 10,209 \$ 3,174 \$ 3,280 \$ 3,628 \$ 844 \$ 322 \$ 844 \$ 322 \$ 844 \$ 322 \$ 936 \$ 933 \$ 927 \$ 927 \$ 92
CALIFOR	Secu Hous TOTAL COST Un	s ct	ce s

1,717

109

1,509

8

Average Daily Population